



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/590,624

05/14/2007

Haseeb Akhtar

16812RRUS06N

2298

33000

7590

08/27/2010

DOCKET CLERK

P.O. DRAWER 800889

DALLAS, TX 75380

EXAMINER

ALGIBHAH, HAMZA N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2448

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/27/2010

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@munckcarter.com

munckcarter@gmail.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/590,624	<b>Applicant(s)</b> AKHTAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HAMZA ALGIBHAH	<b>Art Unit</b> 2448	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 August 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/09/2007</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### DETAILED ACTION

1. **Claims 14-21** have been withdrawn.
2. **Claims 1-13** are pending.
3. **Claims 1-13** are rejected.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. **Claims 1 and 9-13 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being as being anticipated over Sayeedi et al (Pub No.: US 2002/0145999 A1) and further in view of Bao et al (Pub. No.: US 2004/01966826 A1).

***As per claim 1, Sayeedi discloses: a method of operating a packet network, comprising the steps of:***

- ***processing a message*** (Sayeedi, paragraph 0006) wherein the request received can be the message as claimed ***in a standardized interface*** (Sayeedi, paragraph 0005) wherein the A1 through A11 interfaces can be the standardized as claimed, ***the message including an indicia*** (Sayeedi, paragraph 0005)

wherein the DRS bit included in the request can be the indicia as claimed.

Sayeedi does not specifically disclose ***identifying a packet application in response to the indicia***. However Bao discloses ***identifying a packet application in response to the indicia*** (Bao, paragraph 0036).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate Bao teaching of identifying the packet application into Sayeedi System because this would have provided a way to optimize the system to process messages differently based on the application corresponding to the message;

***As per claim 2, claim 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi further discloses that the standardized interface is an A1 interface*** (Sayeedi, Fig 1, paragraph 0005); Sayeedi teaches A1-A11 interfaces and in addition Fig 1 shows the use of A1 interface between the Source BS and the MSC;

***As per claim 3, claim 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi further discloses that the standardized interface is an A3 interface*** (Sayeedi, Fig 1, paragraph 0005); Sayeedi teaches A1-A11 interfaces and in addition Fig 1 shows the use of A3 interface between the Target BS and the Source BS;

***As per claim 4, claim 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi further discloses that the standardized interface is an A5 interface*** (Sayeedi, Fig 1, paragraph

0005); Sayeedi teaches A1-A11 interfaces and in addition Fig 1 shows the use of A5 interface between the Source BS and the MSC;

***As per claim 5, claim 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi further discloses that the standardized interface is an A7 interface*** (Sayeedi, Fig 1, paragraph 0005); Sayeedi teaches A1-A11 interfaces and in addition Fig 1 shows the use of A7 interface between the Target BS and the Source BS;

***As per claim 6, claim 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi further discloses that the standardized interface is an A9 interface*** (Sayeedi, Fig 1, paragraph 0005); Sayeedi teaches A1-A11 interfaces and in addition Fig 1 shows the use of A9 interface between the Source BS and the PCF;

***As per claim 7, claim 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi further discloses that the standardized interface is an A10 interface*** (Sayeedi, Fig 1, paragraph 0005); Sayeedi teaches A1-A11 interfaces and in addition Fig 1 shows the use of A10 interface between the PCF and the PDSN;

***As per claim 8, claim 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi further discloses that the standardized interface is an A11 interface*** (Sayeedi, Fig 1, paragraph 0005); Sayeedi teaches A1-A11 interfaces and in addition Fig 1 shows the use of A11 interface between the PCF and the PDSN;

***As per claim 9, claim 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi further discloses that the packet application is a control plane packet application*** (Sayeedi, Fig 1, paragraph 0020, 0025);

***As per claim 10, claim 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi further discloses that the packet application is a bearer packet application*** (Sayeedi, paragraph 0006)

***As per claim 11, claims 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi does not specifically disclose that the packet application is a push-to-talk packet application.*** However Bao discloses ***that the packet application is a push-to-talk packet application*** (Bao, abstract, paragraph 0036).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate Bao teaching of using a push to talk packet application into Sayeedi System because this would have provided a way to optimize the system to support the push-to-talk applications;

***As per claim 12, claims 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi does not specifically disclose that the packet application is a Voice-over-IP packet application.*** However Bao discloses ***that the packet application is a Voice-over-IP packet application*** (Bao, abstract, paragraph 0036).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate Bao teaching of using a push to talk packet application into Sayeedi System because this would have provided a way to optimize the system to support the VOIP applications;

***As per claim 13, claims 1 is incorporated and Sayeedi does not specifically disclose that the packet application is a delay-sensitive packet application. However Bao discloses that the packet application is a delay-sensitive packet application (Bao, abstract, paragraph 0036).***

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to incorporate Bao teaching of using a push to talk packet application into Sayeedi System because this would have provided a way to optimize the system to support the delay-sensitive applications;

### ***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HAMZA ALGIBHAH whose telephone number is (571)270-7212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30AM-5:00PM, EST, Alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 2448

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Firmin Backer can be reached on 571-272-67036703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HAMZA ALGIBHAH/

Examiner, Art Unit 2448

/FIRMIN BACKER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2448